arXiv:1412.2737v3 [math.DS] 15 Jun 2015

BRAID TYPES FORCED BY HOMOCLINIC ORBITS

VALENTÍN MENDOZA

Abstract. The complexity of a dynamical system exhibiting a homoclinic orbit is given by the braid types that it forces. In this work we present a method, based in pruning theory, to determine all the braid types forced by an arbitrary homoclinic orbit of an Axiom A diffeomorphism on the 2-disk. Then we apply it for finding the braid types forced by certain infinite families of homoclinic horseshoe orbits.

1. Introduction

Since the Poincaré's discovery of homoclinic orbits, it is known that dynamical systems with one of these orbits have a very complex behaviour. Such a feature was explained by S. Smale in terms of his celebrated horseshoe map [27]; more precisely, if a surface difeomorphism f has a homoclinic point then, there exists an invariant set Λ where a power of f is conjugated to the *shift* σ defined on the compact space $\Sigma_2 = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of symbol sequences.

To understand how complex is a diffeomorphism containing a periodic or homoclinic orbit, we need the notion of forcing. Let P and Q be two periodic (or homoclinic) orbits of homeomorphisms f and g of the disk D^2, respectively. We say that (P,f) and (Q,g) are *equivalent* if there is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $h : D^2 \to D^2$ with $h(P) = Q$ such that f is isotopic to $h^{-1} \circ g \circ h$ relative to P. The equivalence class containing (P,f) is called the *braid type* $\mathrm{bt}(P,f)$. When the homeomorphism f is fixed, it will be written $\mathrm{bt}(P)$ instead of $\mathrm{bt}(P,f)$.

Now we can define the forcing relation between two braid types β and γ. We say that β *forces* γ, denoted by $\beta \geqslant_2 \gamma$, if every homeomorphism of D^2 which has an orbit with braid type β, exhibits also an orbit with braid type γ. Note that we admit that none, one or both braid types β and γ be a homoclinic one. So we say that P *forces* Q, denoted by $P \geqslant_2 Q$, if $\mathrm{bt}(P) \geqslant_2 \mathrm{bt}(Q)$. When restricted to periodic orbits, Boyland proved that $\geqslant_2$ is a partial order [3]. In [24] Los also proved it for the special case of the disk and he has concluded that in this particular case the forcing relation on periodic orbits can be extended to homoclinic or heteroclinic orbits in a suitable topology. Thus we can ensure that a diffeomorphism f containing an orbit P is at least as complex as it is restricted to the set Σ_P of orbits forced by P.

There are several methods for finding the dynamics forced by a homoclinic orbit of a diffeomorphism f, using its homoclinic tangle that is a subset of its invariant manifolds. For example, in [6, 7], Collins has constructed suitable surface hyperbolic diffeomorphisms associated to a homoclinic orbit which can be used for approximating the entropy of that orbit as close as we want. In [29, 31], Yamaguchi and Tanikawa have studied the forcing relation of reversible homoclinic horseshoe orbits appearing in area-preserving Hénon maps. In other direction Boyland and Hall have given conditions for which a periodic orbit is isotopy stable relative to a compact set [4], and their result can be used for studying homoclinic orbits.

This is the context where our work is inserted. Here we will study homoclinic orbits to a fixed point of an Axiom A map f on the 2-disk. We will prove that in this particular case the forcing order relative to a homoclinic orbit P depends on the non-existence of *bigons* relative to P, that is, simply connected domains which are bounded by two pieces of the invariant manifolds of f: a

Date: June 16, 2015.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37E30, 37E15, 37C29. Secondary 37B10, 37D20.
Key words and phrases. Homoclinic braid types, Boyland forcing, Smale horseshoe, pruning theory.

stable and an unstable segment. This is closely related to the results of Lewowicz and Ures in [22] where similar conclusions were done for proving persistence of periodic orbits of Axiom A maps. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let f be an Axiom A map on the disk which has a transitive basic set K, and let P be a periodic orbit or a homoclinic orbit to a fixed point $p \in K$. If f does not have bigons relative to P then $\Sigma_P = K$ up a finite number of boundary periodic points.*

Briefly we would like to say which are the elements of the proof of Theorem above. Using a generalization of a Bonatti-Jeandenans's Theorem [2], it follows that f is semiconjugated to a generalized pseudoanosov map ϕ, defined on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$, by a semiconjugacy which is injective on the set of periodic orbits. By a generalization of a Handel's theorem [19], if g is a homeomorphism isotopic to ϕ, relative to the homoclinic orbit P, there exists a closed set H_g such that g restricted to H_g is semiconjugated to ϕ on $\mathbb{S}^2$. Hence every periodic orbit of ϕ is isotopy stable. It implies that all the periodic orbits of f and their homoclinic and heteroclinic intersections are isotopy stable. Thus, by a Lewowicz-Ures's Theorem [22], their braid types are forced by P. Although these are the central lines of the proof, in the middle of it we have to be concerned with some details that are not direct, e.g., the fact that the braid types are preserved after the semiconjugacy even when the surfaces, where f and ϕ are defined, are different.

Just then we present the *pruning method* that is an algorithm that, if finite, could help us to find, given a periodic or homoclinic orbit P, an Axiom A map f without bigons relative to P. Hence, by Theorem 1, the basic piece of f has to contain all the orbits whose braid types are forced by P. An important ingredient of this method is the pruning theory introduced by de Carvalho in [9] which is a technique for eliminating dynamics of a surface homeomorphism, but in our context it will be considered its differentiable version for which pruning can be seen as the uncrossing of the invariant manifolds of an Axiom A diffeomorphism in a region called a *pruning domain*. This point of view was inspired by the work of P. Cvitanović [8] where a generic once-folding map is interpreted as a *partial horseshoe*, that is, a map whose dynamics forbids or prunes certain horseshoe orbits.

Some methods, as Bestvina-Handel [1], Los [23], de Carvalho-Hall [11] and Solari-Natiello [28], have been presented for constructing the pseudoanosov map relative to a periodic orbit. The main advantage of them is that they only need the information of the thick map, the train-track or the fatten representative associated to that orbit, whereas our method needs the full knowledge of an Axiom A f which has an orbit with the same braid type of the orbit under consideration.

There are two advantages of our method:

(1) since we know which are the orbits of the initial diffeomorphism, we be able of knowing which survive after the pruning process, and
(2) the method unifies the braid analysis of periodic and homoclinic orbits.

Unfortunately there is an inconvenient in our treatment: there is not guarantee that the method always stops in a finite number of steps.

As an application we will study the forcing relation of homoclinic orbits coming from the Smale horseshoe F. In particular, it will be dealt homoclinic orbits to the fixed point 0^∞, that is, orbits P_0^w whose code in Σ_2 is $0^\infty 10w0.10^\infty$ where w is a finite word called *decoration*. In [12] de Carvalho and Hall conjectured that the orbits forced by P_0^w are those that do not intersect a region $\mathcal{P}_w$ called *pruning region*, and that the forcing relation of periodic orbits depends basically of being able to determine it for homoclinic orbits. In this work we will conclude that the pruning method can be used for finding these pruning regions for *certain* infinite families of decorations w, that is, after a finite number of its application we can eliminate all the bigons relative to those orbits.

Now we would like to explain how the paper is organized. Section 2 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. It needs the definition of a generalized pseudoanosov maps on the sphere and the statement of the Bonatti-Jeandenans's theorem and the Handel's Theorem for these type of

homeomorphisms. In Section 3 we will introduce the pruning method. Section 4 is devoted to the study of homoclinic horseshoe orbits.

2. Homoclinic forcing relation

Here we will define the notions that we are going to use and then we will give the proof of Theorem 1. We assume that the reader has some familiarity with Axiom A maps and pseudoanosov homeomorphisms. Good references for these topics are [2] and [17].

2.1. **Axiom A maps.** Let f be an Axiom A map on the disk D^2 and suppose that f has a unique non-trivial basic saddle set K which is a totally disconnected hyperbolic Cantor set. Suppose *the domain of* K, that is, an invariant open region containing K where the dynamics can be explained by the symbol dynamics of K is $\Delta(K) = D^2 \setminus \{s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_k\}$ where s_i is a periodic point of f for all $i = 1, \cdots, k$. See the precise definition of $\Delta(K)$ in [2]. Thus the non-wandering set of f is formed by K and a finite set of isolated saddles points, sinks and sources. We will suppose that f can be extended to ∂D^2 as the identity $f = Id$.

A saddle point $q \in K$ is a *s-boundary point* if q is a boundary point of $W^u_\epsilon(q, f) \cap K$. The set of s-boundary points is denoted by $\partial_s K$. The *u-boundary points* are defined similarly; the set of the u-boundary points is denoted by $\partial_u K$. It is known that there is a finite number of periodic saddle points $p^s_1, \cdots, p^s_{n_s}$ and $p^u_1, \cdots, p^u_{n_u}$ such that $\partial_s K = \left(\cup_{i=1}^{n_s} W^s(p^s_i)\right) \cap K$ and $\partial_u K = \left(\cup_{i=1}^{n_u} W^u(p^u_i)\right) \cap K$.

We are going to study a homoclinic orbit $P = \{p_j\}$ included in the intersection of the stable and unstable manifolds of a s- and u- boundary fixed point p, $f(p) = p$. A orbit of K will be denoted by R.

2.2. **Generalized pseudoanosov maps.** In this section we will present the definition of generalized pseudoanosov homeomorphisms. They were first studied in [10] where were associated to thick graph maps and in [14] for providing a complexification of the unimodal family. Here they will be associated to an *individual* homoclinic orbit on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ by a definition adapted to our purposes.

Definition 2. An homeomorphism ϕ on $\mathbb{S}^2$ will be called *generalized pseudoanosov map associated to a homoclinic orbit* $\Theta = \cup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\{p_j\}$ if ϕ has a fixed point p such that:

(a) ϕ restricted to $\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus (\{p\} \cup \Theta)$ preserves a pair of measured foliations $(\mathcal{F}^s, \mu^s)$ and $(\mathcal{F}^u, \mu^u)$ which admits a finite number of (finite or infinite) orbits of n-pronged singularities with $n \geqslant 3$. All the infinite orbits of n-pronged singularities accumulate in p.

(b) ϕ extends to the elements of $\{p\} \cup \Theta$ in the following way: $\phi(p) = p$, $\phi^j(p_0) = p_j$

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} p_j = p = \lim_{j\to-\infty} p_j.$$

Every p_j is a 1-pronged singularity for ϕ.

(c) There exists a real number $\lambda > 1$ such that

$$\phi(\mathcal{F}^s, \mu^s) = (\mathcal{F}^s, \lambda^{-1}\mu^s) \text{ and } \phi(\mathcal{F}^u, \mu^u) = (\mathcal{F}^u, \lambda\mu^u).$$

By (c), leaves connecting n-pronged periodic singularities are forbidden, but it is possible to exist leaves connecting two n-prongs with infinite orbit. As in pseudoanosov case, these properties imply that the set of periodic orbits is dense and that ϕ is topologically transitive.

2.3. **A Bonatti-Jeandenans's theorem for generalized pseudoanosov maps.** Now we can state a generalization of [2, Theorem 8.3.1] by Bonatti-Jeandenans that associates a generalized pseudoanosov homeomorphism to an Axiom A map. We need the following definition.

Definition 3. A *bigon type-I* $\mathcal{I}$ is a simply connected open domain disjoint from K and bounded by a segment of a stable manifold $\theta_s \subset W^s(p_s)$ and a segment of an unstable manifold $\theta_u \subset W^u(p_u)$, where p_s and p_u are boundary periodic points of K. We will say that f *has no bigons type-I relative to* P if every bigon type-I $\mathcal{I}$ of f has a point of P in its boundary.

Remark 4. If f has no bigons type-I relative to P then every bigon type-I is as in Fig. 1(a). Case (b) is forbidden by Definition 3.



FIGURE 1. The bigons in (a) is allowed, but this one in (b) is forbidden.

The following result is a generalization of [2, Theorem 8.3.1] by Bonatti and Jeandenans.

Theorem 5. *Let f be an Axiom A map with a transitive basic set K such that $\Delta(K) = D^2$ less a finite number of periodic orbits and let P be a homoclinic orbit to a fixed point p. Suppose that f has no bigons type-I relative to P. Then there exists a generalized pseudoanosov homeomorphism ϕ on $\mathbb{S}^2$ (with possibly a finite number of periodic 1-pronged singularities), a homoclinic orbit Θ and a continuous surjection $\pi : D^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ such that $\pi \circ (f|_{D^2}) = \phi \circ \pi$. In fact, the semiconjugacy π is injective on the periodic orbits except on the boundary ones.*

(Sketch of the Proof). The proof is the same as in [2] and we will give some of its details for completeness. The existence of the invariant transversal measures follows as in [2, Proposition 8.2.1] since it does not depend of the presence of bigons. The semiconjugacy is defined considering a partition of the domain D^2 in six types of sets:

(1) singletons $\{x \in K : x \text{ is not a boundary point}\}$,
(2) the rectangles bounded by two stable arcs and two unstable arcs of boundary periodic points,
(3) arcs α with its end-points in K and $\text{Int}(\alpha) \cap K = \emptyset$, included in an invariant manifold of a non-boundary point,
(4) the regions bounded by more than two stable arcs and, consequently, more than two unstable arcs,
(5) sets defined by free invariant manifolds of boundary periodic points,
(6) the iterates of $\mathcal{I}$.

Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ which identifies the points in each of the sets above. Let $\mathcal{S} = D^2/\sim$. Since the partition is f-invariant, the quotient map $\phi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ is well-defined. The sets in (4) create a finite number of orbits of n-pronged singularities, with $n \geqslant 3$, noting that each orbit is infinite. These ones in (5) could create periodic n-pronged singularities with $n \neq 2$. Denoting also p_j to $\pi(p_j)$ it follows that $\Theta = \{p_j\}$.

The equivalence classes are simply connected (except this which identifies the boundary ∂D^2 to a point) then it follows that the quotient space is a sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$. □

Remark 6. Note that the semiconjugacy of Theorem 5 is injective on the periodic points except on the boundary ones, so it preserves the braid types of periodic orbits except these ones that correspond to boundary points which collapse to repeller or attractor periodic points as this one in Fig. 2 creating a n-pronged singularity.



FIGURE 2. The semiconjugacy on the boundary points.

Let f be an Axiom A diffeomorphism on the disk which does not have bigons type I relative to a homoclinic orbit P to a fixed point p, and let ϕ be the generalized pseudoanosov map obtained from f by Theorem 5. Let $\overline{f} : \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ be the homeomorphism obtained from f collapsing the boundary ∂D^2 to a point. Since π is a homotopy it follows, by a Epstein's Theorem [15], that $\overline{f}$ and ϕ are isotopic relative to $\{p\} \cup \Theta$. See [5] for a proof of this result via hyperbolic geometry of surfaces. Since p is both stable and unstable boundary point of f it follows that p is identified with the boundary ∂D^2 by π. It allows us to relate the braid types on D^2 to the braid types on $\mathbb{S}^2$.



FIGURE 3. The braid ρ_5 on D^2.

It is known [16] that the n-braid group of the sphere is the quotient of the braid n braid group of D^2 by ρ_n, the braid showed in Fig. 3 for $n = 5$. We can take the first point as being p. After identifying ∂D^2 to a point it can be seen that ρ_n is the identity, thus there exists a bijection between the n-braids of D^2, defined by the periodic orbits of f, with the n-braids of $\mathbb{S}^2$ defined by periodic orbits of ϕ. This relation extends to braid types.



FIGURE 4. The tight horseshoe in the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$.

Example 7. Applying Theorem 5 to the Smale horseshoe F on the disk D^2 (See Section 4.1 for the description of this map), we obtain the *tight* horseshoe defined on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$. See Fig.

4. In this case $p = 0^\infty$ and the generalized pseudoanosov map ϕ has an infinite orbit of a 1-pronged singularity associated to the homoclinic orbit Θ which correspond to the symbol sequence $p_0 = 0^\infty 10.10^\infty$ represented in Fig. 4 with some elements of its orbit. The index i represents the point p_i. See [10] for another construction of the tight horseshoe using the zero-entropy equivalence relation.

Note that if f has a boundary periodic point $q \in K$ as these ones of Fig. 5 then the generalized pseudoanosov map ϕ, obtained applying Theorem 5 to f, has a 1-pronged periodic singularity. To avoid that situation we introduce the following definition.

Definition 8. A *bigon type-II* $\mathcal{I}$ is a region bounded by a stable segment $\theta_s \subset W^s(p_s)$ and an unstable segment $\theta_u \subset W^u(p_u)$, with p_s and p_u being periodic points in K, such that the interior of $\mathcal{I}$ contains a s-boundary periodic saddle point q such that the free branch of $W^u(q)$ belongs to the basin of an attracting periodic orbit, or if it contains a u-boundary saddle point q whose free stable manifold belongs to unstable set of a repelling periodic point. See Figure 5.



FIGURE 5. 1-pronged singularity.

Corollary 9. *If an Axiom A map f does not have bigons type-I and type-II rel to P then after the application of Theorem 5 to f, the generalized pseudoanosov map ϕ satisfies the conditions of Definition 2.*

Definition 10. We will say that an Axiom A map f on D^2 *does not have bigons* if it does not have bigons type-I and type-II.

2.4. **A Handel's theorem for generalized pseudoanosov maps.** The persistence Handel's Theorem asserts that the orbits of pseudoanosov maps are isotopy stable [19, Theorem 2]. Although a generalization of that Theorem is difficult in a general context and many subtle points can appear, in this section we will prove a similar result only for generalized pseudoanosov maps coming from Axiom A diffeomorphisms through Theorem 5. It says us that the orbits of ϕ are isotopy stable on $M = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus (\{p\} \cup \Theta)$. It will be proved for compactly fixed deformations of ϕ.

Let $\widetilde{M}$ be the universal cover of M. Note that the generalized pseudoanosov ϕ satisfies the following properties which are also satisfied by pseudoanosov homeomorphisms and were used in the Handel's proof:

(1.1) The action induced by ϕ on the free homotopy classes of M has no periodic orbits. It follows since a non null-homotopic curve $\mathcal{C}$ of M always contains a point of Θ in its interior and exterior. Since $p_j = \phi^j(p_0)$ and $\lim_{j\to\pm\infty} p_j = p$, $\mathcal{C}$ can not be periodic.

(1.2) The fixed point index of a fixed point $x \neq p$ of ϕ^n is never 0.

(1.3) There exist an equivariant metric $\widetilde{d}$ on the universal cover $\widetilde{M}$ of M such that $\widetilde{d} = \sqrt{\widetilde{d}_u^2 + \widetilde{d}_s^2}$ where $\widetilde{d}_u : \widetilde{M} \times \widetilde{M} \to [0, +\infty)$ and $\widetilde{d}_s : \widetilde{M} \times \widetilde{M} \to [0, +\infty)$ are equivariant functions satisfying:

(1) $$\widetilde{d}_u(\widetilde{\phi}(\widetilde{x_1}), \widetilde{\phi}(\widetilde{x_2})) = \lambda \widetilde{d}_u(\widetilde{x_1}, \widetilde{x_2}) \text{ and } \widetilde{d}_s(\widetilde{\phi}^{-1}(\widetilde{x_1}), \widetilde{\phi}^{-1}(\widetilde{x_2})) = \lambda \widetilde{d}_s(\widetilde{x_1}, \widetilde{x_2})$$

for all $\widetilde{x_1}, \widetilde{x_2} \in \widetilde{M}$ and all lifts $\widetilde{\phi}$ of ϕ. This metric projects to a metric d on M.

We will only work with a generalized pseudoanosov map ϕ coming from an Axiom A map f as in Theorem 5, so we are going to add some properties that are satisfied by this kind of map.

(1.4) Since p is a stable and unstable periodic point of f then p and its invariant manifolds locally looks like Fig. 6(a). After the application of the Bonatti-Jeandenans's theorem, a neighbourhood of p looks like Fig. 6(b), that is, p is approximated by a sequence of n-pronged singularities created by the identifications of Theorem 5. Thus given a point q,



FIGURE 6. A neighbourhood of p after the semiconjugacy π.

we can find a sequence of points q_i such that q_i tends to p through the stable (or unstable) manifold with $d(q, q_n) < C_1$ for a finite constant C_1, implying that the distance $d(q, p)$ is finite. Hence the metric d can be extended to a finite metric on $\mathbb{S}^2$.

(1.5) For all $n \geqslant 1$, the set $\mathrm{Fix}(\phi^n) \setminus \{p\}$ is compactly included in M with the topology induced by d.

By Property (1.4), a homeomorphism $g : M \to M$ isotopic to ϕ is always *bounded*, that is,

$$\max\{\sup_{x\in M} d(\phi(x), g(x)), \sup_{x\in M} d(\phi^{-1}(x), g^{-1}(x))\} < +\infty. \tag{2}$$

Even more we can suppose that g is *compactly fixed* that is $\mathrm{Fix}(g^n) \setminus \{p\}$ is compact in M, because if for some n there exists a $x \in \mathrm{Fix}(g^n)$ such that its Nielsen class can be taken arbitrarily close to p then x belongs to a periodic orbit which is collapsible to p.

Let $\widetilde{g}$ be the unique lift of g which is equivariantly homotopic to $\widetilde{\phi}$. We need two definitions.

Definition 11. The ϕ-orbit of x is *globally shadowed* by the g-orbit of y, denote by $(\phi, x) \sim (g, y)$, if there are lifts $\widetilde{x}$ of x and $\widetilde{y}$ of y and a constant C such that $\widetilde{d}(\widetilde{\phi}^k(\widetilde{x}), \widetilde{g}^k(\widetilde{y})) \leqslant C$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 12. Let x and y be fixed points of ϕ^n and g^n respectively. We say that (ϕ^n, x) is *Nielsen equivalent* to (g^n, y) if there exist lifts $\widetilde{x}$ and $\widetilde{y}$, and some covering translation δ such that $\widetilde{\phi}^n(\widetilde{x}) = \delta\widetilde{x}$ and $\widetilde{g}^n(\widetilde{y}) = \delta\widetilde{y}$.

As g is bounded, there are not obstacles to prove Lemmas 1.7, 2.1 and 2.2 of [19] for generalized pseudoanosov homeomorphisms:

(i) ([19, Lemma 1.7]) If x is a fixed point of ϕ and y is a fixed point of g^n, then (ϕ^n, x) is Nielsen equivalent to (g^n, y) if and only if $(\phi, x) \sim (g, y)$.

(ii) By property (1.3), no lift of any iterate of ϕ can fix two distint points. It implies that if x_1 and x_2 are distint fixed points of ϕ^n then (ϕ^n, x_1) and (ϕ^n, x_2) are not Nielsen equivalent.

(iii) Since g is compactly fixed, it follows by homotopy stability and (1.2) that for every ϕ-periodic point x with least period n, there exists a g-periodic y with least period n such that $(\phi, x) \sim (g, y)$. See for instance [26] for homotopic stability on non-compact spaces. As in [19, Lemma 2.1(ii)] we can prove that y has least period n.

(iv) As g is bounded it implies that

$$\max\{\sup_{\widetilde{x}\in\widetilde{M}} \widetilde{d}(\widetilde{\phi}(x),\widetilde{g}(x)), \sup_{\widetilde{x}\in\widetilde{M}} \widetilde{d}(\widetilde{\phi}^{-1}(x),\widetilde{g}^{-1}(\widetilde{x}))\} < \infty.$$

So the proof of [19, Lemma 2.2] shows that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $(\phi, x) \sim (g, y)$ if and only they are globally shadowed with constant C.

(v) If $x_n \to x$, $y_n \to y$ and $(\phi, x_n) \sim (g, y_n)$ then $(\phi, x) \sim (g, y)$.

Now we can state [19, Theorem 2] for generalized pseudoanosov maps.

Theorem 13. *Let $\phi : M \to M$ be a generalized pseudoanosov homeomorphism coming from an Axiom A map and let $g : M \to M$ be a compactly fixed homeomorphism isotopic to ϕ. Then there exists a closed set $H_g \subset M$ and a surjective map $\alpha : H_g \to M$ which is homotopic to the inclusion map such that $\phi \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ g|_{H_g}$.*

Proof. It follows the same lines of the Handel's proof. Let

$$\widetilde{H} = \{\widetilde{y} \in M : \text{ there exists an } \widetilde{x} \text{ with } (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\phi}) \sim (\widetilde{y}, \widetilde{g})\}.$$

Note that $(\widetilde{x}, \phi) \sim (\widetilde{y}, \widetilde{g})$ if and only if $(r.\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\phi}) \sim (r.\widetilde{y}, \widetilde{g})$, for all $r \in \pi(M)$. Then $r.\widetilde{H} = \widetilde{H}$ for all $r \in \pi(M)$. Using (v) it can be proved that $\widetilde{H}$ is closed. By (ii) and (iii), for every periodic orbit $\widetilde{y} \in \widetilde{Y}$, there exists an unique $\widetilde{x}$ such that $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\phi}) \sim (\widetilde{y}, \widetilde{g})$, then define $\widetilde{\alpha} : \widetilde{H} \to \widetilde{M}$ as $\widetilde{\alpha}(\widetilde{y}) = \widetilde{x}$. Note that $\widetilde{\alpha}(r.\widetilde{y}) = r.\widetilde{\alpha}(\widetilde{y})$ and $\widetilde{\alpha} \circ \widetilde{g} = \widetilde{\phi} \circ \widetilde{\alpha}$. Projecting $\widetilde{H}$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}$, we obtain a set H_g and a map $\alpha : H_g \to M$ satisfying $\alpha \circ g = \phi \circ \alpha$. Since the periodic points of ϕ are shadowable and dense in M and H_g is closed, it follows that $\alpha(H_g) = M$. □

Remark 14. One can suppose that $y \in H_g$, satisfying $\alpha(y) = x$, has the braid type of x. In fact, if y does not have the braid type of x then its period m is a multiple of the period n of x. Since the semiconjugacy is homotopic to the identity, then m/n elements of the orbit of y collapse to an element of the orbit of x. See Fig. 7. So in a neighbourhood of x there exists a curve γ (connecting



FIGURE 7. Orbits of x and y with $\alpha(y) = x$.

some elements of the orbit of y) with non-null index on g^n. So there exists a g-periodic point y' of period n. It is clear that $\mathrm{bt}(y') = \mathrm{bt}(x)$. Thus Theorem 13 claims that the set of all the braid types defined by (periodic or homoclinic) orbits of ϕ is a subset of the braid types defined by any homeomorphism isotopic to ϕ.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let f be an Axiom A map without bigons relative to a homoclinic orbit P, and let $\overline{f}$ be the map obtained identifying ∂D^2 to a point. $\overline{f}$ is isotopic to the generalized map ϕ obtained from f by Theorem 5. By Theorem 13 there exists a subset H_f such that $\overline{f}$ restricted to H_f is semiconjugated to ϕ on $\mathbb{S}^2$.

Now we will prove that $K \subset H_f$. We will follow the same argument of the proof of [22, Theorem 5.3] by Lewowicz and Ures. Take a simply closed curve $\gamma = \gamma_s \cup \gamma_u$ which is bounded by a stable segment and and unstable segment. Now let $q \neq p$ be a fixed point of f^n which belongs to K and let Q be one of its lifts. Since K does not have bigons then $W^u(Q, \widetilde{f})$ intersects every lift of γ_s at most a point. Since $W^u(q, f)$ intersects infinitely many times γ_s then $W^u(Q, \widetilde{f})$ has exactly two end-points at the boundary of the universal cover of M, S_∞. Then [22, Lemma 5.1] proves that $q \in H_f$. By density of periodic points we have that $K \subset H_f$.

Note that every equivalence class in Theorem 5 contains a point of K in its boundary. So every element of H_f is equivalent to some point of K. Then $K = H_f$, that is, the braid types defined

by the orbits of K are the same as the braid types defined by the orbits in H_f. Hence, by Remark 14, the braid types forced by P coincide with the braid types defined by orbits of K.

If P is a periodic orbit of an Axiom A map with a transitive basic set K then the same argument proves that the braid types forced by P correspond to the orbits included in K. The only difference is that, after the Bonatti-Jeandenans's semiconjugacy, P becomes a 1-pronged singularity and, since an unstable boundary point of K is collapsible to ∂D^2, ∂D^2 collapses to a fixed point which is a n-pronged singularity. □

3. A method for eliminating bigons of an Axiom A map

As Theorem 1 claims to solve the problem of finding orbits forced by a homoclinic orbit P, we just have to find an Axiom A map without bigons relative to P. It can be done using pruning theory.

3.1. **Pruning theory.** Pruning is a technique introduced by de Carvalho [9] for eliminating orbits of a homeomorphism in a controlled manner, that is, for destroying dynamics contained in the interior of simply connected closed regions that we can define dynamically. Here we will use the differentiable version of pruning that the author, in a joint work with A. de Carvalho, has developed in the forthcoming paper *Differentiable pruning and the hyperbolic pruning front conjecture*. The main ideas are the following.

Let f be an Axiom A diffeomorphism on a surface S with a basic set K. Let D be a simply connected domain bounded by two segments θ_s and θ_u with $\theta_s \subset W^s(p_s)$ and $\theta_u \subset W^u(p_u)$ where p_s and p_u are saddle periodic points in K.

Definition 15. The domain D is a *pruning domain* if its boundary satisfies the following property

$$f^n(\theta_s) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D) = \emptyset = f^{-n}(\theta_u) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D), \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

The differentiable version of the pruning theorem is the following result.

Theorem 16 (Differentiable Pruning Theorem)**.** *If D is a pruning domain for f then there exists a diffeomorphism ψ, isotopic to f, satisfying the following properties:*

(i) *ψ is an Axiom A map;*
(ii) *the non-wandering set of ψ consists of a saddle set K_ψ and a finite set of isolated saddle points, sinks and sources;*
(iii) *ψ, restricted to K_ψ, is semiconjugated (finite-to-one) to f, restricted to a subset $K' \subset K$ by a semiconjugacy $\tau : K_\psi \to K'$ satisfying*

$$K' = \{R \subset K : R \cap \mathrm{Int}(D) = \emptyset\} = K \setminus \cup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} f^i(\mathrm{Int}(D));$$

and
(iv) *τ is injective on the set of periodic orbits.*

By (iii), every point inside $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ is wandering for ψ. To prove Theorem 16 we have to construct, if necessary, a DA-map of f which is a similar difeomorphism to those constructed by Williams [30] for Anosov diffeomorphisms, that is, we have to splitting open the stable manifold of p_s and the unstable manifold of p_u. Then we define a difeotopy S_t inside D as in Fig. 8(a) and compose it with f to obtain a diffeotopy $f_t = S_t \circ f$ of f. So the diffeomorphism of the pruning theorem will be given by $\psi := f_1$, the end of the diffeotopy. The following observations will be used.

(I) By construction, there are not intersections between invariant manifolds of ψ inside D; furthermore, the unstable invariant manifolds of ψ that are in D are deformations of the invariant manifolds of f by S_1, that is, if $\gamma_u \subset D$ is a segment of an unstable manifold of ψ then $\gamma_u = \psi^k \circ S_1(\gamma'_u)$ where γ'_u is a segment of an unstable invariant manifold of f and k is a positive integer. The stable manifolds of ψ satisfy similar properties: If γ_s is a segment of a stable manifold of ψ inside D then, either γ_s is equal to a stable segment of f or it is an image by $\psi^{-k} \circ S_1^{-1}$ of a stable segment of f. See Fig. 8(b).



FIGURE 8. A pruning difeotopy.

(II) By (iii) and (iv), the set of orbits of ψ is formed by the orbits $R \subset K$ satisfying that $R \cap \mathrm{Int}(D) = \emptyset$.

By these observations, for knowing how the bigons are created or destroyed we just have to concern with the deformation within D of the invariant manifolds by S_1.

3.2. **Creating pruning domains.** By Theorem 1, to characterize the dynamics forced by a given orbit P we just have to eliminate the bigons relative to P. In this section we will describe how it can be done. In the following suppose that f is an orientation preserving Axiom A map on D^2 having a saddle set K. We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 17. *Given an Axiom A diffeomorphism f having a bigon $\mathcal{I}$ type-I or type-II and an orbit (periodic or homoclinic) $P \subset K$ disjoint from $\mathcal{I}$. Then there exists a pruning domain containing $\mathcal{I}$ and disjoint from P.*

Next subsections are devoted to the proof of Theorem 17. We will prove it only for bigons type-I, since minor modifications can state the same conclusion for bigons type-II.

3.2.1. ***Finding the maximal domain.*** Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a bigon type-I with $\partial\mathcal{I} = \alpha_s \cup \alpha_u$. This section describes how to find the *maximal domain*, relative to P, which contains $\mathcal{I}$. It will be done finding the maximal rectangle having $\mathcal{I}$ as its unique bigon, that is, a set $\mathcal{R}$ where the stable and unstable manifolds are vertical and horizontal, respectively.

A segment α_u is an u-arc if its end-points are in the stable manifold $W^s(q)$ of some periodic boundary point $q \in K$. By Lemma 2.4.5 of [2], for all u-arc α_u included in the unstable manifold of a point of K with end-points in $W^s(q)$, the closed curve, obtained from α_u joining its these end-points with a segment of $W^s(q)$ is the boundary of a simply connected closed domain with interior disjoint from K. If we take two such domains S_1 and S_2, then or $S_1 \subset S_2$ or $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$. See Fig. 9. Now consider the set of domains which contains $\mathcal{I}$ and do not contain any domain disjoint from $\mathcal{I}$. This set can be organized by inclusion and let S be the greatest domain in this set. Hence S is bounded by two segments γ_u and γ_s which have the same end-points A' and B'. Since the u-arc γ_u is an extremal arc then it is included in the stable manifold $W^u(p_u)$ of some u-boundary periodic point p_u. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the region which has γ_u in its boundary and is disjoint from $W^u(K) \cup W^s(K)$. It is clear that $\mathcal{E}$ is not a rectangle. See Fig. 9(b).

We want to extend S to find the maximal closed domain D, relative to P, that intersects K. To do it we will find the maximal rectangle $\mathcal{R}$ defined by γ_s. This definition is needed.

Definition 18. Two stable segments γ_1 and γ_2 are *s-related* if there exists a rectangle non-degenerate whose stable boundary is the union of these two arcs. In the same way, two unstable segments γ_3 and γ_4 are *u-related* if there exists a rectangle whose unstable boundary is the union of these two arcs.



(a) Maximal domain S disjoint from K.

(b) Elements of the construction.

FIGURE 9. Pictures to understand the construction.

Fix the end-point A' of γ_s and, if γ is a s-related segment to γ_s, denote by A_γ the end-point of γ which is in the unstable manifold of A' in the rectangle defined by γ_s and γ. Also define $d_u(A', A_\gamma)$ as the distance on $W^u(p_u)$ from A' to A_γ. Then define the set T of real numbers

$$T := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : \text{ there exists a segment } \gamma \ s\text{-related to } \gamma_s \text{ with } d_u(A', A_\gamma) = t\}. \tag{3}$$

Lemma 19. *T has a well-defined supremum t_u.*

Proof. It is known that $W^u(K)$ has a transverse measure ν^u which is invariant by holonomy and is called the *unstable Margulis measure*, and $W^s(K)$ has a transverse measure ν^s which is invariant by holonomy and is called the *stable Margulis measure*, such that the product $\nu := \nu^u.\nu^s$ is invariant by f. See [2, Section 8.2] for the details. So the area $\nu(K)$ is finite. Let $2.a = \nu^u(\gamma_s)$ be the stable measure of γ_s. If T were unbounded, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we could find a rectangle $\mathcal{R}$ such that $\nu(\mathcal{R}) = 2a.n$. This is a contradiction. □

At this point we have two cases.

Case I. The first case is when $t_u \in T$. In this case take the stable arc θ_s related to γ_s which makes the supremum and extend γ_u to an arc θ_u such that $\theta_s \cup \theta_u$ bounds a closed region D that is called *the maximal domain*. See Fig. 10(a).

Case II. The second case is when $t_u \notin T$, that can happen if there exists a u-boundary periodic point p such that it can be defined a rectangle between γ_s and a stable segment θ_s which can be taken arbitrarily close to the stable manifold of p. See Fig. 10(b). Hence it is possible to choose a domain D whose boundary consists of two segment $\theta_u \subset W^u(p)$ and $\theta_s \subset W^s(p)$ intersecting in p and another point q.

In both cases one can choose a domain D which is the *maximal domain containing* $\mathcal{I}$. If $P \cap \text{Int}(D) \neq \emptyset$ then we modify the construction in order to obtain a *maximal domain D relative to P*.

The stable arc θ_s is included in the stable manifold of some boundary periodic point p_s. Let A and B be the end-points of θ_s and θ_u. Extend α_u until its intersection with θ_u and denote by α'_u and α''_u these extensions an by δ the union $\alpha'_u \cup \alpha_u \cup \alpha''_u$. There exists a curve $\theta_c \subset \theta_s$ whose end-points are the same end-points of δ and such that $\theta_c \cup \delta$ bounded a simply connected domain Y disjoint of $W^u(K)$. See Fig. 10.

Lemma 20. *There is no boundary periodic points in δ.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a boundary periodic point p of period N in δ, then $\delta \subset W^u(p)$. Take a stable curve δ_s close enough to p such that δ_s and a piece of δ bound a domain. So the end-points



FIGURE 10. Maximal domain.

of δ_s converge to p by backward iterations of f. Let n be a positive integer sufficiently large such that the end-points of $f^{-nN}(\delta_s)$ are close to p. This is a contradiction with the definition of the domain D since in this case we can not construct a rectangle with the stable segment $f^{-nN}(\delta_s)$ and γ_s. See Fig. 11. □



FIGURE 11. Proof of Lemma 20.

Corollary 21. *For all $N > 0$, it holds that $f^N(\delta) \cap \delta = \emptyset$.*

Proof. There are two possibilities. If $f^N(\delta) \subset \delta$ then there exists a N-periodic point $p \in \delta$ which has to be a boundary point. It is a contradiction with Lemma 20. If $\delta \cap f^N(\delta) \neq \emptyset$ then $\delta \cup f^N(\delta)$ is a boundary of a simply connected domain. This is a contradiction since they are included in the unstable manifold of a boundary point. □

3.2.2. ***Defining a pruning domain.*** If the maximal domain D, relative to P, satisfies pruning conditions then the proof of Theorem 17 is done. If D does not satisfy these conditions, we will prove that there exists a non trivial pruning domain $D' \subset D$. The idea is to decrease θ_s until to obtain an adequate domain.

Lemma 22. *If $f^n(\theta_s) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D) = \emptyset$, for all $n \geq 1$ then D satisfies pruning conditions for f.*

Proof. By hypothesis $f^n(\mathring{\theta_s}) \cap \theta_u = \emptyset$ for all $n \geq 1$ then $f^{-n}(\theta_u) \cap \mathring{\theta_s} = \emptyset$ for all $n \geq 1$. So if for some $n \geq 1$, $f^{-n}(\theta_u) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D) \neq \emptyset$ then $f^{-n}(\theta_u) \subset \mathrm{Int}(D)$. This is not possible since $\gamma_u \subset \theta_u$ is included in the boundary of $\mathcal{E}$ and in that case $f^{-n}(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathrm{Int}(D)$, which is a contradiction with the definition of D. So D satisfies the pruning conditions of Definition 15. □

By Lemma 22 we just need to study the case when there exist positive integers N_i such that $f^{N_i}(\theta_s) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D) \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 23. *Let D be the maximal domain and an integer $N > 1$. If $f^N(\theta_s) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D) \neq \emptyset$ then $f^N(\mathring{\theta}_s) \cap \theta_u \neq \emptyset$ or $\alpha_s \subset f^N(\theta_s)$.*

Proof. If $f^N(\mathring{\theta}_s) \subset (W^s(K) \cap D) \backslash \gamma_s$, then $f^N(\theta_s)$ can be continued through the leaves of $W^u(K) \cap D$. Finding the N-backward iterate of these continuation, we can see that the rectangle defining D can be extended to a bigger one. That is a contradiction with the definition of D. The same argument works if $f^N(\theta_s) \subset \gamma_s \setminus \mathcal{I}$. The remaining case is when $f^N(\theta_s) \cap \mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$ that implies $\alpha_s \subset f^N(\theta_s)$.

If $f^N(\mathring{\theta}_s)$ is not included in $W^s(K) \cap D$ then $f^N(\mathring{\theta}_s) \cap \theta_u \neq \emptyset$. □

Lemma 24. *There are a finite number of positive integers $N_1, N_2, ..., N_l$ such that $f^{N_i}(\theta_s) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D) \neq \emptyset$, for all $i = 1, ..., l$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a sequence $\{N_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $f^{N_i}(\theta_s) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 23 and since $\mathrm{diam}(f^{N_i}(\theta_s))$ goes to 0 when i goes to ∞, it follows that only a finite number of the N_i satisfy $f^{N_i}(\theta_s) \supset \alpha_s$. So we just have to consider the case when $f^{N_i}(\mathring{\theta}_s) \cap \theta_u \neq \emptyset$. Since $\theta_s \subset W^s(p_s)$ and $\lim f^{N_i}(\theta_s)$ goes to p_s when i goes to ∞, it follows that $p_s \in \theta_u$. Hence p_s is a periodic point in $W^u(p_u)$, it is only possible if $p_s = p_u \in \theta_s \cap \theta_u$. But in this case $f^n(\theta_s) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D) \neq \emptyset$ for a finite number of positive integers. That is a contradiction. □



FIGURE 12. The N-th iterate of θ_s.

For the iterates of Lemma 24, we have:

Lemma 25. *For every N_i there exists a subdomain $D_i \subset D$ such that $\partial D_i = \theta_{s,i} \cup \theta_{u,i}$ where $\theta_{s,i} \subset \theta_s$, $f^{N_i}(\theta_{s,i}) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D_i) = \emptyset$ and $\theta_{u,i}$ is an unstable leaf included in D.*

Proof. We have denoted $2.a := \nu^u(\theta_s)$ where ν^u is the unstable Margulis measure. Take a point $q \in \theta_c$. It is possible to define a function $h : \theta_s \to [-a, a]$, given by $h(p) := \nu^u([p, q]_s)$ where $[p, q]_s$ is the curve included in θ_s joining p and q, and considering $\nu^u([p, q]_s) < 0$ if p is to the left of q, and positive if p is to the right of q.

For $p \in K$, let $P_s(p)$ be the smallest projection of p on θ_s along the unstable leaves of D. Note that if $t \in [-a, a]$ then $h^{-1}(t)$ contains only one or two points $p_1(t), p_2(t) \in K$ and $h(P_s(f^{N_i}(p_1(t)))) = h(P_s(f^{N_i}(p_2(t))))$ if $f^{N_i}(p_j(t)) \in \mathrm{Int}(D)$ for $j = 1, 2$. So there exists a well-defined one-dimensional function $\xi : [-a, a] \to J$ given by $\xi(t) = h(P_s(f^{N_i}(h^{-1}(t))))$ where J is an interval that contains $[-a, a]$. By Corollary 21, $\xi(0) \neq 0$ and, by the condition $f^{N_i}(\theta_s) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D) \neq \emptyset$ and Lemma 23, it follows that $\xi([-a, a]) \cap [-a, a] \neq \emptyset$. Now consider the biggest interval $I := [-b, b] \subset [-a, a]$ such that $\xi(\mathring{I}) \cap \mathring{I} = \emptyset$.

If $h^{-1}(b)$ has only an element $p^* \in K$, then the segment $\theta_{s,i} := [-p^*, p^*]_s$ and the unstable leaf $\theta_{u,i}$ of D which passes through the end-points of $\theta_{s,i}$, define a domain $D_i \subset D$. If $h^{-1}(b)$ has two elements $p_1^*, p_2^* \in K$ then suppose that p_1^* is the closest to θ_c. So the stable segment $\theta_{s,i} := [-p_1^*, p_1^*]$ and the unstable leaf $\theta_{u,i}$ of D which passes through the end-points of $\theta_{s,i}$, define the domain $D_i \subset D$. See Fig. 13. By condition $\xi(\mathring{I}) \cap \mathring{I} = \emptyset$, it follows that $f^{N_i}(\theta_{s,i}) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D) = \emptyset$. □



FIGURE 13. Decreasing the domain D to another D_i.

With the domains of Lemma 25 we can define a Domain D' which satisfies at least one property of the Definition 15.

Corollary 26. *There exists a subdomain $D' \subset D$ such that $\mathcal{I} \subset D'$, $\partial D' = \theta'_s \cup \theta'_u$ and*

$$f^n(\theta'_s) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D') = \emptyset, \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Let $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^l$ be the domains of Lemma 25. Since $\theta_{s,i} \subset \theta_{s,j}$ or $\theta_{s,j} \subset \theta_{s,i}$, for all $i, j \in \{1, .., l\}$, the domains $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^l$ can be organized by inclusion. Let $\theta'_s = \theta_{s,i'}$ be the smallest segment in $\{\theta_{s,i}\}$, so the domain $D' := D_{i'}$ is the smallest of all of them. Let θ'_u be the unstable segment joining the end-points of θ'_s. So $\partial D' = \theta'_s \cup \theta'_u$ and $f^{N_i}(\theta'_s) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D) = \emptyset$, for all $i = 1, \cdots, l$. □

Hence for proving that D' is a pruning domain it is sufficient to prove that

$$f^{-n}(\theta'_u) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D') = \emptyset, \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \tag{5}$$

This lemma will be used.

Lemma 27. *θ'_u is a segment of the unstable manifold of a $N_{i'}$-periodic point q_u which is contained in θ'_u.*

Proof. Since f preserves orientation and $f^{N_{i'}}(D)$ does not contain γ_u, it follows that the projection of $f^{N_{i'}}(\theta'_s)$ on θ'_s reverses the end-points of θ'_s, that is, ξ has a negative slope. Then if $I := [-b, b]$ is the interval of the proof of Lemma 25 then or $\xi(-b) = -b$ or $\xi(b) = b$. Suppose that $\xi(b) = b$.

If $h^{-1}(b)$ has two pre-images p_1^* and p_2^* then it follows that $f^{N_{i'}}(W^u(p_1^*)) \cap W^u(p_2^*) \neq \emptyset$ and $f^{N_{i'}}(W^u(p_2^*)) \cap W^u(p_1^*) \neq \emptyset$. Then $f^{2N_{i'}}(W^u(p_1^*)) \cap W^u(p_1^*) \neq \emptyset$. By [25, Theorem 1.2], there exists a periodic point $q_{u,i}$ in $W^u(p_1^*)$. Let q_u be the periodic point such that $\theta'_u \subset W^u(q_u)$. Hence the period of q_u is $2N_{i'}$. Let A be the end-point of θ'_s and θ'_u such that $A \in h^{-1}(b)$. Let A' be the other point in $h^{-1}(b)$. Let θ_1 be the s-segment joining A and A', let θ_2 be the s-stable segment joining $f^{N_{i'}}(A)$ and $f^{N_{i'}}(A')$, let $\theta_3 \subset \theta_{i'}$ be the u-segment joining A and $f^{N_{i'}}(A')$, and let θ_4 be the segment joining A' and $f^{N_{i'}}(A)$. Hence $f^{N_{i'}}(\theta_1 \cup \theta_3 \cup \theta_4)$ contains $\theta_2 \cup \theta_3 \cup \theta_4$. Hence there exists a $2N_{i'}$-periodic point in θ_3 which has to be igual to q_u and then it has to be an u-boundary point. That is a contradiction since there are not boundary points in $\mathrm{Int}(D)$.

Then $h^{-1}(b)$ has just one pre-image p^* and the unstable segment θ'_u which passes through p^* satisfies $f^{N_{i'}}(\theta'_u) \cap \theta'_u \neq \emptyset$. By [25, Theorem 1.2], θ'_u is included in the unstable manifold of a periodic point q_u. Again, since f preserves orientation and $f^{N_{i'}}(D)$ does not contain γ_u, it follows that $f^{N_{i'}}(A) \in \theta'_u$ and $A \in f^{N_{i'}}(\theta'_u)$. This implies that there exists a $N_{i'}$-periodic point in θ'_u which has to be q_u. □

Now we will prove Property (5).

Lemma 28. *For all $N \geq 1$, $f^{-N}(\theta'_u) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D') = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Since $f^n(\mathring{\theta}'_s) \cap \theta'_u = \emptyset$, for all $n \geq 1$, then $\mathring{\theta}'_s \cap f^{-n}(\theta'_u) = \emptyset$, for all $n \geq 1$. It implies that if $f^{-n}(\theta'_u) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D') \neq \emptyset$ then $f^{-n}(\theta'_u) \subset \mathrm{Int}(D')$. Suppose that there exists some N such that $f^{-N}(\theta'_u) \subset \mathrm{Int}(D')$. Since θ'_u has a periodic point q_u of period $N_{i'}$, there are a finite number of N_i's, called N_{i_j} with $N_{i_j} < N_{i'}$, $j = 1, .., k$ such that $f^{-N_{i_j}}(\theta'_u) \subset \mathrm{Int}(D')$. Note that $f^{N_{i'}}(\theta'_s)$ intersects θ'_u in a point. So $f^{-N_{i_j}+N_{i'}}(\theta'_s) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D') \neq \emptyset$. It is a contradiction with the definition of D' since $-N_{i_j} + N_{i'} > 0$. □

Therefore the domain D', associated to the bigon $\mathcal{I}$, satisfies pruning conditions. Thus Theorem 17 has been proven.

3.3. **Pruning Method.** Now it is clear how the pruning method works. Given an orbit (periodic or homoclinic) P of f then:

(1) If f has a bigon relative to P, applying Theorem 17 to f and P, we can find a pruning domain D_1. Then Theorem 16 allows us to construct a pruning diffeomorphism f_1.
(2) If f_1 has no bigons relative to P then, by Theorem 1, every periodic orbit of f_1 is forced by P.
(3) If f_1 has bigons then return to (1).
(4) Repeating (1), (2) and (3) we will obtain a sequence $f_1, f_2 \cdots$. If this sequence is finite then, by Theorem 1, the braid types corresponding to the orbits of the last one f_n are forced by P.

4. Forcing on homoclinic horseshoe orbits

In this section we will study certain homoclinic orbits of the Smale horseshoe, one of the most famous diffeomorphism in dynamical systems. We will determine the braid types forced by these orbits exhibiting the sequence of pruning maps (or pruning domains) that are sufficient for eliminating all the bigons relative to these orbits.

4.1. **Smale horseshoe.** The Smale horseshoe is a well-known Axiom A diffeomorphism F of the disk D^2 which acts as in Fig. 14. Its non-wandering dynamics consists of an attracting fixed point in the left semi-circle, and a compact basic set K included in the regions V_0 and V_1. Furthermore, there exists a conjugacy between F, restricted to K, and the *shift* σ, defined on the compact set $\Sigma_2 = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Thus each point $p \in K$ is represented by a sequence $(s_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ where $s_i = 0$, if $F^i(p) \in V_0$, and $s_i = 1$, if $F^i(p) \in V_1$.



Figure 14. The Smale horseshoe map.

In the following sections, a point $p \in K$ will be always represented by its symbol code. Each point $p \in K$ has two invariant manifolds $W^s(p)$ and $W^u(p)$ that are dense in K and it will be supposed that they are vertical and horizontal, respectively. If $p = s_-.s_+$, where s_+ and s_- are unilateral sequences in the compact space $\Sigma_2^+ = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, then we can project p using its invariant manifolds until the lowest unstable manifold of 0^∞ and the leftmost stable manifold of 0^∞. So we can compare two points of K using their positions s_+ and s_- in Σ_2^+ given by the *unimodal order*

$\geqslant_1$ which relates two symbol sequences by the following rule: If $s = s_0 s_1 \cdots$ and $t = t_0 t_1 \cdots$ are two sequences in Σ_2^+ with $s_i = t_i$ for all $i \leq k$ and $s_{k+1} \neq t_{k+1}$, then $s >_1 t$ when:

(O1) $\sum_{i=0}^{k} s_i$ is even and $s_{k+1} > t_{k+1}$, or
(O2) $\sum_{i=0}^{k} s_i$ is odd and $s_{k+1} < t_{k+1}$.

So $s \geqslant_1 t$ if $s = t$ or $s >_1 t$. Denote by $\overline{w}$ to the infinite repetition $ww...$ of a word w. We will say that a word $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_M$ is *even* if $\sum_{i=1}^{M} w_i$ is even; otherwise w is *odd*. Let $\widehat{w} = w_M w_{M-1} \cdots w_1$ be the reversal word of w.

Here a horseshoe orbit will be denote by R. If R is a periodic orbit then the *code* of R, denoted by c_R is the symbolic representation c_R of the rightmost point of R in the unimodal order. When R is not periodic, the code of R can be taken as the symbolic representation of some of its points.

We are only concerned with homoclinic orbits $P = P_0^w$ to 0^∞ which have as code ${}^\infty 01_1^0 w.{}_1^0 10^\infty$ where w is a finite word called the *decoration of* P. By a Handel's result, cited in [4], it is known that the homoclinic orbit $0^\infty 10.10^\infty$ forces every horseshoe braid type. So our study here is devoted to try of making similar conclusions for other homoclinic orbits, that is, which are the braid types (periodic or homoclinic) forced by a given homoclinic braid.

4.2. **Maximal decorations.** In this section we will study *maximal* decorations, that is, words w satisfying that $w0$ and $w1$ are maximal codes in the unimodal order, that is, $\sigma^i(\overline{w}) \leqslant_1 \overline{w}$, for all $i \geqslant 1$. We need to define two subsets of Σ_2 as follows:

(a) If w is even then define $\mathcal{P}_w = \mathrm{Int}(D_1)$ where D_1 is the pruning domain bounded by a stable segment $\theta_s \subset W^s({}^\infty 010.w010^\infty)$ and an unstable piece $\theta_u \subset W^u((w1)^\infty)$.
(b) If w is odd then define $\mathcal{P}_w = \mathrm{Int}(D_1)$ where D_1 is the pruning domain bounded by a stable segment $\theta_s \subset W^s({}^\infty 010.w110^\infty)$ and an unstable piece $\theta_u \subset W^u((w0)^\infty)$.

These sets are represented in the symbol plane in Fig. 15 and are called *pruning regions associated to* w. The horizontal lines represent the unstable manifolds and the vertical ones represent the stable



FIGURE 15. The sets $\mathcal{P}_w$ when w is even (a) and odd (b).

manifolds. So the main theorem describes which are the braid types forced by the homoclinic orbit P_0^w.

Theorem 29. *Let w be a maximal decoration. Then $\Sigma_{P_0^w} = \{R : R \subset \Sigma_2 \text{ and } R \cap \mathcal{P}_w = \emptyset\} = \Sigma_2 \setminus \cup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \sigma^i(\mathcal{P}_w)$.*

From Theorem 29 it is easy to determine the forcing relation between two homoclinic orbits P_0^w and $P_0^{w'}$: if the orbit of $P_0^{w'}$ does not intersect the pruning region $\mathcal{P}_w$ then P_0^w forces $P_0^{w'}$. For example, a consequence of Theorem 29 is the following corollary.

Corollary 30. *Let w and w' be two maximal decorations satisfying $w \geqslant_1 w'$ and $\widehat{w} \geqslant_1 \widehat{w'}$. Then $P_0^w \geqslant_2 P_0^{w'}$.*

The proof of Corollary 30 follows since that, by the conditions $w \geqslant_1 w'$ and $\widehat{w} \geqslant_1 \widehat{w'}$, it holds that $\mathrm{Orb}(P_0^{w'}) \cap \mathcal{P}_w = \emptyset$. Thus, by Theorem 29, $P_0^w \geqslant_2 P_0^{w'}$. This result is closely related to [20, Theorem 4.8] by Holmes and Whitley where it was proved that, for small values of $b > 0$ in the Hénon family $H_{a,b}(x,y) = (a - x^2 - by, x)$, if $\widehat{w}01 \geqslant_1 \widehat{w'}01$ then P_0^w appears after $P_0^{w'}$.

Example 31. By Corollary 30, it follows that

$$^\infty 01_1^0 1000010a110001_1^0.10^\infty \geqslant_2 {}^\infty 01_1^0 100010b11001_1^0.10^\infty$$

for any words a and b such that $1000010a110001_1^0$ and $100010b11001_1^0$ are maximal codes.

To prove Theorem 29 it is needed the following Lemma whose proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 32. *Let $w = w_1 \cdots w_M$ be a maximal decoration. Then*

(a) *If w is even then $w_i w_{i+1} \cdots w_M 010^\infty \leqslant_1 w010^\infty$, for all $i = 2, \cdots, M$.*
(b) *If w is odd then $w_i w_{i+1} \cdots w_M 110^\infty \leqslant_1 w110^\infty$, for all $i = 2, \cdots, M$.*

Proof of Theorem 29. Let P_0^w be the homoclinic orbit $^\infty 010w0.10^\infty$ where w is maximal and even with length M. Note that F has a bigon $\mathcal{I}$ at the homoclinic orbit $^\infty 010.10^\infty$, and there exists a maximal domain D bounded by a stable segment of $^\infty 010.w010^\infty$ and an unstable segment of $^\infty 010w0.10^\infty$. Unfortunately D is not a pruning domain, but applying Theorem 17 it is possible to decrease the stable segment of D until to obtain a pruning domain D_1 which clearly contains $\mathcal{I}$. It is not difficult that see that D_1 is bounded by two segments θ_s and θ_u where θ_s contains $^\infty 010.w010^\infty$ and θ_u contains the periodic point $(w1)^\infty$. So they are defined by the heteroclinic points $q_1 = {}^\infty(w1).w010^\infty$ and $q_2 = {}^\infty(w1)w0.w010^\infty$, that is $\theta_s \subset W^s(0^\infty)$ and $\theta_u \subset W^u((w1)^\infty)$. By Lemma 32, it follows that $F^i(\theta_s)$ is to the left of $w010^\infty$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, M-1$. Then



FIGURE 16. Invariant manifolds of F and of its pruning map ψ.

$F^i(\theta_s) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D_1) = \emptyset$ for $i = 1 \cdots M-1$. It is clear that $F^i(\theta_s) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D_1) = \emptyset$ for all $i \geqslant M$. Thus for all $i \geqslant 1$, $F^i(\theta_s) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D_1) = \emptyset$. A modification of Lemma 32 proves that $\mathrm{Orb}((w1)^\infty) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D') = \emptyset$. Hence the proof of Lemma 22 proves that $F^{-n}(\theta_u) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D_1) = \emptyset$ for all $n \geqslant 1$. Then D_1 is a pruning domain. Fig. 16(a) shows D_1 and its $(M+1)$-iterate $F^{M+1}(D_1)$.

By the Pruning Theorem 16, there exists an Axiom A map ψ, isotopic to F, with a basic set K which is semiconjugated to the set

$$\Sigma_2 \setminus \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma^i(\mathcal{P}_w) = \{R \subset \Sigma_2 : R \cap \mathcal{P}_w = \emptyset\} \tag{6}$$

by a semiconjugacy injective on the set of periodic orbits. Note that $\psi^{M+1}(0^\infty 10.w010^\infty) = P_0^w$ and $\psi^{M+1}(\theta_u) \cap \theta_u \neq \emptyset$. Since the pruning isotopy is supported in D_1 and the pruning map uncrosses the invariant manifolds inside D_1 and its iterates (Observation I of Theorem 16), it follows that ψ does not have bigons relative to P_0^w. See Fig. 16(b). By Theorem 1 and (6), the braid type of every orbit that does not intersect D_1 is forced by P_0^w.

If w is odd the proof follows the same lines with minor modifications. □

4.3. **Concatenations of NBT decorations.** Another group of decorations for which we know their pruning regions are these called *concatenations of NBT orbits*. To define this kind of orbits we need the notion of NBT orbits introduced in [18] by T. Hall.

Definition 33. To every rational number q in $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, \frac{1}{2})$ we associate a symbol code in the following way: If $q = \frac{m}{n}$, let L_q be the straight line segment joining the origin $(0,0)$ and the point (n,m) in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then construct a finite word $c_q = s_0 s_1 ... s_n$ by the following rule:

$$s_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } L_q \text{ intersects some line } y = k, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ for } x \in (i-1, i+1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

It implies that c_q is palindromic and has the form $c_q = 10^{\mu_1}1^2 0^{\mu_2} 1^2 \cdots 1^2 0^{\mu_{m-1}} 1^2 0^{\mu_m} 1$. The $(n+2)$-periodic orbit P_q, having $c_q 0$ or $c_q 1$ as code, is called a *NBT orbit*.

The following is the main result of [18] which claims that the Boyland order restricted to NBT orbits coincides with the unimodal order.

Theorem 34 (Hall)**.** *Let $q, q' \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then*

(i) *P_q is quasi-one-dimensional, that is, $P_q \geqslant_1 R \Longrightarrow P_q \geqslant_2 R$.*
(ii) *$q \leqslant q' \iff P_q \geqslant_1 P_{q'} \iff P_q \geqslant_2 P_{q'}$.*

A decoration w is a *concatenation of NBT orbits* if there exists a finite sequence $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of distinct rational numbers in $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that

$$w = c_{q_1} 0 c_{q_2} 0 \cdots 0 c_{q_n}. \tag{8}$$

We will give conditions for constructing a pruning diffeomorphism ψ relative to the homoclinic orbit P_0^w, whose code is ${}^\infty 01.0 c_{q_1} 0 c_{q_2} 0 \cdots c_{q_n} 010^\infty$, with a well-defined pruning region. At first we have to organize the rational numbers in the real line $q_{i_1} > q_{i_2} > \cdots > q_{i_n}$. By Theorem 34, their codes satisfy $c_{q_{i_1}} \leqslant_1 c_{q_{i_2}} \leqslant_1 \cdots \leqslant_1 c_{q_{i_n}}$. Denote $c_{q_0} = c_{q_{n+1}} = 10^\infty$.

Definition 35 (**Limiting points**)**.** A point $C_i = (c_{q_i}, 0c_{q_{i-1}})$, with $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$, is called *a limiting point* if there exists $C_j = (c_{q_j}, 0c_{q_{j-1}})$, with $i < j \leq n$ and $c_{q_i} \leqslant c_{q_j}$, such that the region $\mathcal{R}_{ij} = \{c_{q_i} <_1 x <_1 10^\infty, 0c_{q_{j-1}} <_1 y <_1 010^\infty\}$ does not contain other point C_k. In this case c_{q_j} is called *the successor of* c_{q_i}.

It follows that C_1 is always a limiting point and it will be considered that $C_{n+1} = (10^\infty, 0c_{q_n})$ is a limiting point. The limiting points in Fig. 17(a) are C_1, C_4 and C_5, and in Fig. 17(b) they are C_1, C_2, C_3 and C_5. Denote by $\mathbb{L}$ the set of all the limiting points.



FIGURE 17. The list in (a) is a P-list, but this one in (b) is not.

Definition 36 (**P-list**)**.** We say that $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a *P-list* if for every limiting point C_i and its successor C_j it holds that the points C_k, for all $i < k < j$, are not limiting points. When the successor of C_i is C_{n+1}, we require that C_k, for all $i < k \leq n$, do not be limiting points.

All lists with 2 or 3 elements are P-lists. The list in Fig. 17(a) is a P-list, but the list in Fig. 17(b) is not since the successor of C_1 is C_3, but C_2 is also a limiting point.

When $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a P-list, there exists a pruning region defined as follows.

Definition 37. Let $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a P-list. For every limiting point C_i and its successor C_j define the set $\mathcal{P}_i = \mathrm{Int}(D_j)$ where D_j is the domain bounded by a stable segment θ_s^i containing the homoclinic point ${}^\infty 010 c_{q_1} 0 \cdots 0 c_{q_{i-1}} 0.c_{q_i} \cdots 0 c_{q_n} 010^\infty$ and an unstable segment θ_u^i passing through the periodic point $(c_{q_i} 0 \cdots 0 c_{q_{j-1}} 1)^\infty$. The *pruning region of the list* $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is the set

$$\mathcal{P} = \cup_{C_i \in \mathbb{L}} \mathcal{P}_i. \tag{9}$$

Now one can prove the following result.

Theorem 38. *Let $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a P-list and let $w = c_{q_1} 0 c_{q_2} \cdots 0 c_{q_n}$ be its associated concatenation. Then $\Sigma_{P_0^w} = \{R : R \subset \Sigma_2$ and $R \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset\}$.*

Proof. As in the case of maximal decorations, there exists a maximal domain D bounded by a stable segment of $S_1 = {}^\infty 010.c_{q_1} 0 \cdots 0 c_{q_n} 010^\infty$ and an unstable segment of its successor

$$S_j = {}^\infty 010 c_{q_1} 0 \cdots 0 c_{q_{j-1}} 0.c_{q_j} 0 \cdots 0 c_{q_n} 010^\infty.$$

Unfortunately D is not a pruning domain, but applying Theorem 17 one can decrease the stable boundary of D until to obtain a pruning domain D_1. It is not difficult to see that D_1 is bounded by a stable segment $\theta_s \subset W^s(S_1)$ and an unstable segment $\theta_u \subset W^u(T_1)$ where $T_1 = (c_{q_1} 0 c_{q_2} 0 \cdots 0 c_{q_{j-1}} 1)^\infty$. See Fig. 18 for a geometrical explanation of these facts.



FIGURE 18. Pruning region $\mathcal{P}_w$ associated to a concatenation of NBT orbits and the invariant manifolds of its pruning diffeomorphism ψ.

To see that D_1 is a pruning domain note that the end-points of θ_s are the heteroclinic points $A_1 = {}^\infty(c_{q_1} 0 c_{q_2} 0 \cdots c_{q_{j-1}} 1).c_{q_1} 0 \cdots 0 c_{q_n} 010^\infty$ and

$$A_2 = {}^\infty(c_{q_1} 0 c_{q_2} 0 \cdots c_{q_{j-1}} 1) c_{q_1} 0 c_{q_2} 0 \cdots c_{q_{j-1}} 0.c_{q_1} 0 \cdots 0 c_{q_n} 010^\infty.$$

The iterates of A_1 and A_2 can be of the forms:

$$\cdots 0^l 11.0^{l'}, \cdots 0^l.110^{l'} \cdots, \cdots 0^l 1.10^{l'} \cdots, \cdots c_{q_k}.0 c_{q_{k+1}} \cdots \text{ and } \cdots c_{q_k} 0.c_{q_{k+1}} \cdots \text{ with } 1 < k < j.$$

The four forms to the left are clearly disjoint from D_1. Since $(c_{q_{k+1}}, 0 c_{q_k})$ is not a limiting point then the fifth form is disjoint from $\mathrm{Int}(D_1)$. Thus $F^n(\theta_s) \cap \mathrm{Int}(D_1) = \emptyset$ for all $n \geqslant 1$. By Lemma 22, it follows that D_1 is a pruning domain.

Thus the orbits of the pruning diffeomorphism ψ_1 associated to D_1 are the orbits that do not intersect $\mathrm{Int}(D_1)$. If M_1 is the period of T_1 then $\psi_1^{M_1}(A_1)$ is in θ_u, then, by the properties of the pruning diffeotopy, it implies that the bigons are situated to the right of S_j.

Since $\{q_i\}$ is a P-list, the orbit of T_1 does not intersect the region bounded by a stable segment of S_j and the unstable segments which are between S_j and its successor $S_{j'}$. So there exists a maximal domain that can be reduced to a pruning domain D_j. We can see that D_j is bounded by a stable segment of S_j and an unstable segment of $T_2 = (c_{q_j} 0 c_{q_{j+1}} 0 \cdots 0 c_{q_{j'-1}} 1)^\infty$. As in the case

of D_1, we can prove that D_j is a pruning domain for ψ_1. Thus construct a pruning diffeomorphism ψ_2.

Proceeding in the same way with *all* the limiting points we will arrive to a pruning diffeomorphism ψ without bigons relative to P_0^w and whose orbits are these which do not intersect the pruning region $\mathcal{P} = \cup_{S_i \in \mathbb{L}} \mathcal{P}_i$. By Theorem 1, the braid types of all the orbits included in $\Sigma_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}$ are forced by P_0^w.

□

Remark 39. If $\{q_i\}$ is not a P-list then some iterate of some T_i belongs to a pruning domain D_k. It implies that the unstable manifolds in D_k are deformed before of making the pruning isotopy in D_k. So we have no more control on these invariant segments and then the proof above can not be implemented.

Example 40. Consider the homoclinic orbit P_0^w defined by the P-list $\{2/5, 2/7, 1/3\}$ with code

$$^\infty 010 c_{2/5} 0 c_{2/7} 0 c_{1/3} 0.10^\infty = {}^\infty 0101011010100110010100 10.10^\infty.$$

By Theorem 38, its pruning region is formed by the union of the interior of the following two pruning domains: D_1 bounded by a segment of the stable manifold of the limiting point $S_1 = {}^\infty 010.c_{2/5} 0 c_{2/7} 0 c_{1/3} 010^\infty$ and a segment of the unstable manifold of

$$T_1 = (c_{2/5} 0 c_{2/7} 1)^\infty = (1011010100110011)^\infty,$$

and D_2 bounded by a stable segment of the limiting point $S_3 = {}^\infty 010 c_{2/5} 0 c_{2/7} 0.c_{1/3} 010^\infty$ and an unstable segment of $T_2 = (c_{1/3} 1)^\infty = (10011)^\infty$. These domains are represented in Fig. 19.



FIGURE 19. Pruning region $\mathcal{P}_w$ associated to the homoclinic orbit $P_0^w = {}^\infty 010 c_{2/5} 0 c_{2/7} 0 c_{1/3} 0.10^\infty = {}^\infty 0101011010100110010100 10.10^\infty$.

A direct consequence of Theorem 38 is a relation between decorations with the same *combinatorics*.

Definition 41. Two P-lists $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{q_i'\}_{i=1}^n$ have the same combinatorics if $q_i < q_j \iff q_i' < q_j'$.

So we can prove the following.

Corollary 42. *Let $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{q_i'\}_{i=1}^n$ be two P-lists with the same combinatorics. If $q_i < q_i'$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$ then*

$$P_0^{c_{q_1} 0 \cdots 0 c_{q_n}} \geqslant_2 P_0^{c_{q_1'} 0 \cdots 0 c_{q_n'}}.$$

Proof. Note that if $\{q_i\}$ and $\{q_i'\}$ have the same combinatorics and $q_i < q_i'$ then $c_{q_i} 0 >_1 c_{q_i'} 0$. Hence $\mathrm{Orb}(P_0^{c_{q_1'} 0 \cdots 0 c_{q_n'}}) \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is the pruning region of $P_0^{c_{q_1} 0 \cdots 0 c_{q_n}}$. So the conclusion follows from Theorem 38. □

4.4. **Star homoclinic orbits.** In [32] Yamaguchi and Tanikawa have dealt *star* homoclinic orbits P_0^q which have as codes ${}^\infty 0.c_q 0^\infty$, with $q \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$. These orbits have received this name because their train track types are star [13, 21]. Here we will see that they have well-defined pruning regions. To define it, construct the domain D_q bounded by a segment $\theta_s \subset W^s(\sigma^2({}^\infty 0.c_q 0^\infty))$ and a segment $\theta_u \subset W^u(1^\infty)$. Using the properties of c_q, we can prove that D_q is a pruning domain associated to P_0^q and that its pruning diffeomorphism does not have bigons relative to P_0^q. Note that $q \geqslant q'$ if and only if $D_q \subset D_{q'}$. Hence we have proved two claims:

Claim 1. $\Sigma_{P_0^q} = \{R : R \subset \Sigma_2 \text{ and } R \cap \mathrm{Int}(D_q) = \emptyset\}$.

Consequence of Claim 1 is the following result found in [32, Theorem 5.2.1].

Claim 2. For star homoclinic orbits, the Boyland order coincides with the order of the rational numbers, that is, $q \geqslant q' \iff P_0^q \geqslant_2 P_0^{q'}$.



FIGURE 20. (a) Pruning region D_q of the homoclinic orbit $P_0^{2/7} = {}^\infty 0.100110010^\infty$. (b) The set of orbits of periods less than 17 whose braid types are forced by $P_0^{2/7}$.

Example 43. Consider $q = 2/7$. Then $P_0^{2/7}$ has as code ${}^\infty 0.c_{2/7}0^\infty = {}^\infty 0.100110010^\infty$. Its pruning region $D_{2/7}$ is defined by a stable segment of $\sigma^2({}^\infty 0.c_{2/7}0^\infty) = {}^\infty 010.0110010^\infty$ and an unstable segment of the fixed point 1^∞, and it is represented in Fig. 20(a) until its fourth iterate. In Fig. 20(b) we have represented the periodic orbits with periods less than 17 whose braid types are forced by $P_0^{2/7}$.

Remark 44. In the examples showed in previous subsections, there exists a well-defined pruning region obtained applying Theorem 17 a finite number of times. But it is not true for all decorations. In fact, there are certain decorations w for which their pruning region is formed by an infinite number of pruning domains and then, in that situation, the pruning method only says that $\Sigma_{P_0^w}$ is *contained* in the set of braid types of the orbits that do not intersect those pruning domains.

5. Acknowledgements

I am grateful to André de Carvalho for his stimulating conversations about pruning, to Toby Hall that has written the useful programs *Prune* and *Train* to explore the pruning regions and the train track of periodic horseshoe points, and to Philip Boyland who showed us the Handel's Theorem. I would also thank the referee of the first draft of this manuscript who made many helpful comments for improving some unclear aspects contained in the proofs.

References

[1] M. Bestvina and M. Handel, *Train-Tracks for Surface homeomorphisms*. Topology, Vol **34**, 109–140 (1995).
[2] C. Bonatti, R. Langevin and E. Jeandenans, *Difféomorphismes de Smale des surfaces*. Société Mathématique de France (1998).

[3] P. Boyland, *Topological methods in surface dynamics*. Topology and its Applications, **54**, 223–298 (1994).
[4] P. Boyland and T. Hall, *Isotopy stable dynamics relative to compact invariant sets*. Proc. London Math. Soc., **79(3)** 673–693 (1999).
[5] J. Cantwell and L. Conlon, *Hyperbolic geometric and homotopic homeomorphisms of surfaces*. arXiv:1305.1379v3 (2014).
[6] P. Collins, *Dynamics of surface diffeomorphisms relative to homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits*. Dyn. Syst., **19(1)** 1–39 (2004).
[7] P. Collins, *Entropy-minimizing models of surface diffeomorphisms relative to homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits*, Dyn. Syst. **20(4)** 369–400 (2005).
[8] P. Cvitanović, *Periodic orbits as the skeleton of classical and quantum chaos*. Physica D **51** 138–151 (1991).
[9] A. de Carvalho, *Pruning fronts and the formation of horseshoes*. Ergod.Th. and Dynam. Sys. **19(4)**, 851–894 (1999).
[10] A. de Carvalho, *Extensions, quotients and generalized pseudo-Anosov maps*, In *Graphs and patterns in mathematics and theoretical physics*, volume 73 of Proc. Sympo. Pure Math., pages 315–338, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2005).
[11] A. de Carvalho and T. Hall, *Pruning theory and Thurston's classification of surface homeomorphisms*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. **3**, 287–333 (2001).
[12] A. de Carvalho and T. Hall, *The forcing relation for horseshoe braid types*. Experiment. Math. **11(2)**, 271–288 (2002).
[13] A. de Carvalho and T. Hall, *Braid forcing and star-shaped train tracks*. Topology **43**, 247–287 (2004).
[14] A. de Carvalho and T. Hall, *Unimodal generalized pseudo-anosov maps*. Geom. Topol. **8** 1127–1188 (2004).
[15] D. B. A. Epstein, *Curves on* 2*-manifolds and isotopies*. Acta Math., **115**, 83–107 (1966).
[16] E. Fadell and J. Van-Buskirk, *On the braid groups on* E^n *and* S^n. Duke Math. Journal. **29(2)**, 243–257 (1962).
[17] A. Fathi, F. Laudenbach and V. Poénaru, *Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces*. Asterisque **66-67** (1979).
[18] T. Hall, *The creation of horseshoes*. Nonlinearity. **7**, 861–924 (1994).
[19] M. Handel, *Global shadowing of pseudoanosov homeomorphisms*. Ergod.Th. and Dynam. Sys. **5**, 373–377 (1985).
[20] P. Holmes and D. Whitley, *Bifurcations of one- and two-dimensional maps*. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A. **311(1515)**, 43–102 (1984).
[21] E. Kin and P. Collins, *Templates forced by the Smale horseshoe trellises* (developments and applications of dynamical systems theory), RIMS Kokyuroku **1369** 204–211 (2004).
[22] J. Lewowicz and R. Ures, *On basic pieces of Axiom A diffeomorphisms isotopic to pseudoanosov maps*. Asterisque, **287**, 125–134 (2003).
[23] J. Los, *Pseudo-anosov maps and invariant train tracks in the disc: a finite algorithm*. Proc. London Math. Soc. **3**, 400–430 (1993).
[24] J. Los, *On the forcing relation for surface homeomorphisms*. Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S. **85**, 5–61 (1997).
[25] R. V. Plykin, *Sources and sinks of A-difeomorphisms of surfaces*. Jour. Math. USSR-Sb. **23(2)** 233–253 (1974).
[26] K. Scholz, *The Nielsen fixed point theory for non-compact spaces*. Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics. **4(1)**, 81–87 (1974).
[27] S. Smale, *Differentiable dynamical systems*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **73**, 747–817 (1967).
[28] H. Solari and M. Natiello, *Minimal periodic orbits structure of 2-dimensional homeomorphisms*. Journal of Nonlinear Science. **15(3)**, 183–222 (2005).
[29] K. Tanikawa and Y. Yamaguchi, *Forcing relations for homoclinic orbits of the reversible horseshoe map*. Progr. Theoret. Phys. **126(5)** 811–839 (2011).
[30] R. F. Williams, *The DA maps of Smale and structural stability*. Global Analysis, Proc. of Symp. Pure Math., **14**, 329–334 (1970).
[31] Y. Yamaguchi and K. Tanikawa, *Order of appearance of homoclinic points for the Hénon map*, Progr. Theoret. Phys. **116(6)** 1029–1049 (2006).
[32] Y. Yamaguchi and K. Tanikawa, *A new interpretation of the symbolic codes for the Hénon map*, Progr. Theoret. Phys. **122(3)** 569–609 (2009).

DMA-UFV, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Campus Universitário, CEP 36570-900, Viçosa, MG, Brazil.

E-mail address: valentin@ufv.br